UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,232	09/18/2006	Naoki Ito	129407	7359
25944 OLIFF & BERI	7590 06/23/200 RIDGE, PLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 320850			LAIOS, MARIA J	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/23/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/593,232	ITO, NAOKI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	MARIA J. LAIOS	1795				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	-· action is non-final.					
·—	·—					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
dissect in assertation with the practice and in E.	x parte Quayre, 1000 0.2. 11, 10	0 0.0. 210.				
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1,3-6,8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3-6 and 8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>20060918, 20080505</u> . 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/593,232 Page 2

Art Unit: 1795

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being

indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

applicant regards as the invention.

3. The term "fine" in claims 1 and 6 is a relative term which renders the claim

indefinite. The term "fine" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide

a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art

would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. The term fine has not

been defined in the specification and for the purposes of examination the porous layer

needs to contain pores.

Claim Objections

4. Claim 5 is objected to because of the following informalities: claim 5 states an electrode adjacent disposed adjacent to the porous layer. Remove the first accordance of the word "adjacent." Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Application/Control Number: 10/593,232 Page 3

Art Unit: 1795

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1, 4, 5 and 6 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sturm (US 3,480,538).

As to claims 1, 5 and 6, Sturm discloses a fuel cell with an electrolyte layer comprising a hydrogen permeable substrate which passes a gas supplied to the electrochemical reaction (6-col. 2 lines 29-31 and line 39) and a porous layer (7-frame) which contains an inorganic electrolyte supported in the pores (col. 3 lines 69-73). Adjacent to the electrolyte and the hydrogen permeable layer are the electrodes (5).

As to claim 4, Sturm discloses the electrolyte to be an acidic electrolyte (col. 6 lines 9-10).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1, 3, 6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chisholm et al. (US 6,468,684 B1) in view of Juda et al (US 3,407,095)

As to claims 1, 3, 6 and 8, Chisholm et al. discloses a composite electrolyte layer comprising a solid acid embedded in a supporting matrix (col. 5 lines 22-26). The composite electrolyte was formed by adding a solid acid to a suspension of an insoluble polymer and allowed to evaporate (col. 10 lines 13-20). Chisholm et al. fails to disclose a substrate that is hydrogen permeable and allows gas to pass.

Juda et al. discloses an electrochemical cell and teaches the use of a palladium containing layer which hydrogen permeable and will permit the diffusion of hydrogen but is inherently impermeable to other gasses. Thus alloy for an impure hydrogen gas obtained by reforming methanol or hydrocarbons the entry of these impurities in the electrolyte medium of the cell (col. 1 lines 25-35). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have a hydrogen permeable layer on the electrolyte layer of Chisholm et al. in order to prevent impurities from reaching the electrolyte.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Ikeda et al. (US 5,434,024) discloses a porous electrolyte with a hydrogen permeable coating (Examples 1 and 3).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MARIA J. LAIOS whose telephone number is (571)272-9808. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 10 am -7 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/593,232 Page 5

Art Unit: 1795

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dah-Wei Yuan can be reached on 571-272-1295. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/M. J. L./ Examiner, Art Unit 1795

/Dah-Wei D. Yuan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795